

EXHIBIT 11

1 LAW OFFICES OF SCOTT A. BURSOR

2 Scott A. Bursor (*pro hac vice*)
3 369 Lexington Avenue, 10th Floor
4 New York, NY 10017
5 Telephone: (212) 989-9113
6 Facsimile: (212) 989-9163

7 BRAMSON, PLUTZIK, MAHLER & BIRKHAEUSER, LLP

8 Alan R. Plutzik (State Bar No. 077785)
9 L. Timothy Fisher (State Bar No. 191626)
10 2125 Oak Grove Road, Suite 120
11 Walnut Creek, CA 94598
12 Telephone: (925) 945-0200
13 Facsimile: (925) 945-8792

14 Attorneys for Defendants Power
15 Ventures, Inc. and Steve Vachani

16 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

17 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

18 FACEBOOK, INC.,

19 Plaintiff,

Case No. 5:08-cv-05780 JF (RS)

20 -against-

**DEFENDANT POWER VENTURES,
INC.'S RESPONSES TO
FACEBOOK, INC.'S FIRST SET OF
INTERROGATORIES**

21 POWER VENTURES, INC. d/b/a POWER.COM, a
22 California corporation; POWER VENTURES, INC.
23 a Cayman Island Corporation, STEVE VACHANI,
24 an individual; DOE 1, d/b/a POWER.COM, an
25 individual and/or business entity of unknown nature;
26 DOES 2 through 25, inclusive, individuals and/or
27 business entities of unknown nature,

28 Defendants.

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

Preliminarily, it should be noted that defendant Power has not fully completed its investigation of the facts relating to this case, has not fully completed discovery in this action and has not completed preparation necessary for trial. All of the answers contained herein are based only on such information and documents which are presently available to and specifically known to Power. It is anticipated that further discovery, independent investigation, and legal research and analysis will supply additional facts, add meaning to the known facts, and establish entirely new factual and legal conclusions. All of these may lead to substantial additions to, modifications of and variations from the information set forth herein. The following responses are given without prejudice to Power's right to produce evidence of subsequently discovered fact or facts which Power may recall or learn at a later date. Power accordingly reserves the right to change all answers herein as additional facts are ascertained, analysis is made, and legal research is completed.

GENERAL OBJECTIONS

In addition to those objections set forth specifically within the response to each interrogatory, Power interposes the following general objections to the entire set of interrogatories, and to each individual interrogatory thereof, which general objections are incorporated by reference in each and every response to each specific interrogatory. Power's failure to repeat expressly these general objections in each response shall not be deemed a waiver thereof. Moreover, Power's specification of one or more objections in any given response is not intended to preclude the applicability of any of these general objections. The assertion of any objection to an interrogatory in any response below is neither intended as, nor shall in any way be deemed, a waiver of Power's right to assert that or any other objection at a later date. Power's general objections are as follows:

1. Power objects to the interrogatories on the ground that the interrogatories, individually and taken as a whole, are overly broad, unduly burdensome, oppressive and seek to impose broader response obligations on Power than those imposed by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. In addition, Power objects to the extent that the information sought by the interrogatories is equally available to plaintiff.

2. Power objects to the interrogatories on the ground and to the extent they seek information that is not relevant to the subject matter of this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

3. Power objects to the interrogatories on the ground that they call for the disclosure of communications that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work product privilege, or any other applicable privilege.

4. Power objects to the interrogatories to the extent they request information by reference to purported facts that Power disputes and/or which have not been established in this case. Power has advanced certain positions of law and fact. Power's statements of law and fact are a matter of record and are not subject to recharacterization by plaintiff.

5. Power objects to the interrogatories to the extent they seek information that can only be provided, or will or should be provided at trial by persons qualified as experts in their fields. Power expressly reserves the right to call such persons to testify at trial without waiver of the right to protect the identities of persons who it has consulted in the course of this litigation for purposes of expert advice.

INTERROGATORIES

INTERROGATORY NO. 1:

Describe in detail AND IDENTIFY the process by which POWER accesses OR accessed the FACEBOOK WEBSITE.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 1:

Power objects that this interrogatory is vague and ambiguous, overly broad, unduly burdensome, and seeks information that is irrelevant and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Subject to and without waiving these objections, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 33(d), Power refers to its business records including its June 2007 PowerScript Training document, and its PowerScript Documentation Developer Manual. These business records contain highly confidential, proprietary trade secrets, and will be produced upon entry of an appropriate protective order.

/ / /

1 **INTERROGATORY NO. 2:**

2 Describe in detail AND IDENTIFY the process by which POWER provides OR provided
3 POWER USERS with access to the FACEBOOK WEBSITE.

4 **RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 2:**

5 See response to Interrogatory No. 1.

6 **INTERROGATORY NO. 3:**

7
8 Describe in detail AND IDENTIFY ANY AND ALL software, i.e., computer code,
9 programs, tools, scripts OR automated devices, YOU use OR used to access OR provide POWER
10 USERS with the means to access, the FACEBOOK WEBSITE to copy, download, extract, OR
11 retrieve information from the FACEBOOK WEBSITE, including but not limited to, the processes
12 OR procedures by which that software was developed, including how it was tested and on what
13 code its functionality was tested.

14 **RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 3:**

15 See response to Interrogatory No. 1.

16 **INTERROGATORY NO. 4:**

17
18 IDENTIFY ANY accounts YOU OR YOUR current AND former employees, including
19 without limitation, ANY PERSONS who are OR were full-time or part-time employees,
20 independent contractor OR agents of YOU, created or used within the course of conduct of their
21 employment to access the FACEBOOK WEBSITE.

22 **RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 4:**

23 Power objects that this interrogatory is vague and ambiguous, overly broad, unduly
24 burdensome, and seeks information that is irrelevant and not reasonably calculated to lead to the
25 discovery of admissible evidence, and seeks information that is not within Power's possession,
26 custody or control. Subject to and without waiving these objections, Power states that most
27 employees of Power had personal Facebook accounts prior to the events at issue in this lawsuit.
28

Power is presently unaware of any Facebook accounts created by Power employees for purposes of their employment with Power.

INTERROGATORY NO. 5:

Describe in detail how AND IDENTIFY when YOU first became aware that FACEBOOK had IP blocks to keep YOU OR POWER USERS from accessing the FACEBOOK WEBSITE.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 5:

Power objects that this interrogatory is vague and ambiguous. Subject to and without waiving those objections, Power states that on or about December 15, 2008 it received an email from Facebook's counsel, Joseph P. Cutler, stating that "Facebook has taken technical measures to limit the interaction between Power.com and its network at this time."

INTERROGATORY NO. 6:

Describe in detail how AND IDENTIFY when YOU first learned that YOU did not have FACEBOOK's permission to access the FACEBOOK WEBSITE.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 6:

Power objects that this interrogatory is vague and ambiguous, overbroad, unduly burdensome, and seeks information that is not within Power's possession, custody or control. Subject to and without waiving those objections, Power states that on or about December 1, 2008 it received a letter from Facebook's counsel, Joseph P. Cutler, stating that Power's activities violated "Facebook's Terms of Use."

INTERROGATORY NO. 7:

Describe in detail and IDENTIFY the process by which YOU continued to access, OR provide POWER USERS' with the means to access, the FACEBOOK WEBSITE following FACEBOOK's IP blocking, including, but not limited to the POWER employee(s) OR director(s) responsible for that process OR decision.

/ / /

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 7:

Power objects that this interrogatory is vague and ambiguous, overbroad, unduly burdensome, and seeks information that is not within Power's possession, custody or control. Subject to and without waiving those objections, Power had implemented an industry standard practice to refresh, randomize, and rotate IP addresses. Power's IP addresses were regularly updated for all Power users on all social networks. These processes for updating IPs are commonplace industry standard practices which Power had implemented prior to the dispute with Facebook. Power did not change its process for providing users with access to their Facebook accounts in response to any attempted "IP blocking" by Facebook. The person responsible for Power's conduct in this regard was its CEO, Steven Vachani.

INTERROGATORY NO. 8:

IDENTIFY the POWER employee OR director responsible for developing the technology to allow POWER OR POWER USERS to access the FACEBOOK WEBSITE.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 8:

Steven Vachani.

INTERROGATORY NO. 9:

IDENTIFY the POWER employee OR director responsible for developing the technology to allow POWER OR POWER USERS to continue to access the FACEBOOK WEBSITE following FACEBOOK's IP blocking.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 9:

Steven Vachani.

INTERROGATORY NO. 10:

IDENTIFY the POWER employee OR director responsible for creating the e-mail messages sent to FACEBOOK USERS asking FACEBOOK USERS to use the POWER WEBSITE to access the FACEBOOK WEBSITE.

1 **RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 10:**

2 Steven Vachani.

3 **INTERROGATORY NO. 11:**

4 Describe in detail the creation of POWER's internet user Bill of Rights including, but not
5 limited to, the individual(s) responsible for drafting it, the date(s) it was drafted and the date it was
6 posted on the POWER WEBSITE.
7

8 **RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 11:**

9 Power objects that this interrogatory seeks to elicit information protected by the attorney
10 client privilege, the attorney work product doctrine. Subject to and without waiving those
11 objections, Power states that Power's internet user Bill of Rights was posted to Power.com on or
12 about July 1, 2010.
13

14 **INTERROGATORY NO. 12:**

15 IDENTIFY the members of YOUR "product team" responsible for integrating the POWER
16 WEBSITE with FACEBOOK Connect, as referenced in YOUR December 17, 2008 e-mail to
17 FACEBOOK's legal counsel. Dkt. No. 56 at Ex. A.

18 **RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 12:**

19 Eric Santos, 305 West Broadway, Suite 137, New York, NY 10013.

20 **INTERROGATORY NO. 13:**

21 Explain in detail what actions, if any, YOU took to integrate the POWER WEBSITE with
22 FACEBOOK Connect.
23

24 **RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 13:**

25 Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 33(d), Power refers to its business records including its email
26 correspondence concerning these issues, which will be produced upon entry of an appropriate
27 protective order. Power's team worked relentlessly and diligently to integrate Facebook Connect
28

1 and explicitly communicated these efforts to Facebook. In December 2008 and January 2009, it
 2 was Power's highest priority to innovate and launch Facebook connect through Power.com.

3 **INTERROGATORY NO. 14:**

4 Describe in detail YOUR "detailed product plan" regarding the "reintegration of Facebook
 5 with Facebook connect," referenced in YOUR December 17, 2008 e-mail to FACEBOOK's legal
 6 counsel. Dkt. No. 56 at Ex. A.

7 **RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 14:**

8 Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 33(d), Power refers to its business records including its email
 9 correspondence concerning these issues, which will be produced upon entry of an appropriate
 10 protective order.

11 **INTERROGATORY NO. 15:**

12 IDENTIFY ALL facts in support of YOUR contention that POWER had "concerns"
 13 regarding the integration of the POWER WEBSITE with FACEBOOK Connect on the schedule
 14 requested by FACEBOOK, as asserted in POWER's Answer and Counterclaim to FACEBOOK.
 15 Dkt. No. 41 at ¶ 60.

16 **RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 15:**

17 Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 33(d), Power refers to its business records including its email
 18 correspondence concerning these issues, which will be produced upon entry of an appropriate
 19 protective order.

20 **INTERROGATORY NO. 16:**

21 IDENTIFY the individual(s) responsible for the "business decision" not to remove existing
 22 compatibility while implementing POWER's integration with FACEBOOK Connect, as referenced
 23 in YOUR December 26, 1008 e-mail to FACEBOOK's legal counsel. Dkt. No. 56 at Ex. A.

24 **RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 16:**

25 Steven Vachani.

1 **INTERROGATORY NO. 17:**

2 IDENTIFY AND describe in detail the process by which POWER USERS register for the
3 POWER WEBSITE, including but not limited to, ANY information given to POWER USERS
4 regarding POWER's services.

5 **RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 17:**

6
7 Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 33(d), Power refers to Power's Terms of Use and Privacy Policy
8 available at www.power.com.

9 **INTERROGATORY NO. 18:**

10 IDENTIFY ALL facts in support of YOUR contention that YOU had permission to access
11 FACEBOOK USER data on the FACEBOOK WEBSITE.

12 **RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 18:**

13
14 See response to Interrogatory No. 17.

15 **INTERROGATORY NO. 19:**

16 IDENTIFY AND describe in detail how YOU saved, maintained, OR stored FACEBOOK
17 user login information OR DATA obtained from the FACEBOOK WEBSITE OR servers,
18 including the systems on which such DATA OR information is OR was stored, the location of such
19 systems, the security protocols on those systems, whether such DATA remains on those systems,
20 AND the dates and reasons why YOU have deleted OR purged this DATA OR information from
21 YOUR storage systems.

22 **RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 19:**

23
24 Power objects that this interrogatory is vague and ambiguous, overly broad, unduly
25 burdensome, and seeks information that is irrelevant and not reasonably calculated to lead to the
26 discovery of admissible evidence, and seeks information that is not within Power's possession,
27 custody or control. Subject to and without waiving these objections, Power states that prior to
28 accessing or storing any user's data, Power first obtains full permission from each user to access

1 their personal data. Power respects and honors the trust that Power users have placed in Power to
 2 securely access and store their data. Power responsibly and securely stores its users' data, and
 3 Power has never had a security breach. Unless a user requests that his or her data be deleted,
 4 Power does not delete users' data. Power has a responsibility to preserve and store this data for the
 5 user, and Power respects and honors that responsibility. Power does not delete data that users have
 6 expect us to store and to maintain for them, just as Yahoo, Gmail and Facebook, for example, do
 7 not delete data that their users expect them to store and to maintain.

8
 9 Dated: December 15, 2010

BRAMSON, PLUTZIK, MAHLER &
 BIRKHAUSER, LLP

11 By _____/s/_____
 12 L. Timothy Fisher

13 Alan R. Plutzik (State Bar No. 77785)
 14 L. Timothy Fisher (State Bar No. 191626)
 2125 Oak Grove Road, Suite 120
 15 Walnut Creek, CA 94598
 Telephone: (925) 945-0200
 16 Facsimile: (925) 945-8792

17 LAW OFFICES OF SCOTT A. BURSOR
 Scott A. Bursor (*pro hac vice*)
 18 369 Lexington Avenue, 10th Floor
 New York, NY 10017-6531
 Telephone: (212) 989-9113
 19 Facsimile: (212) 989-9163

20 Attorneys for Defendants Power
 Ventures, Inc. and Steve Vachani
 21
 22
 23
 24
 25
 26
 27
 28


VERIFICATION

I, Steve Vachani, declare that:

I am a defendant in the above-captioned action. I have read POWER VENTURES INC.'S RESPONSES TO FACEBOOK'S FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES, and know the contents thereof. The responses are true of my own knowledge except as to the matters therein stated on information and belief and as to those matters I believe them to be true.

I declare under penalty of perjury, under the laws of the United States of America, that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed at 5:00pm in San Francisco on December 15, 2010.



Steve Vachani